

While this is a very well-intentioned proposal, it assumes that it is easier and more efficient to maintain tools, service equipment and replacement parts in the field than simply maintaining spare working radio equipment. In any kind of critical situation, it's better to have a spare radio than attempt to repair a radio. I've repaired radios and computers and operated in the field many times. Planning ahead for the simplest options is key to effective emergency operation.

Therefore, I oppose this proposal and the burden it would place on manufacturers of equipment, not to mention the additional cost incurred by the FCC in enforcing the proposed regulations.